



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
CARLO ANTHONY
STEFANELLO,
Defendant.

Case No. CR 17-2026M
ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

On August 10, 2017, Defendant made his initial appearance in this district on the complaint filed in the Eastern District of California. Deputy Federal Public Defender Cuauhtemoc Ortega was appointed to represent Defendant. Defendant submitted on the Pretrial Services Officer's recommendation of detention.

On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case allegedly involving a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.

On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)]. At this time, Defendant submits on the recommendation of detention.

II.

The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: the appearance of the defendant as required.

the safety of any person or the community.

the Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted the § 3142(e)(2) presumption by sufficient evidence to the contrary.

III.

The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of

1 the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also
2 considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments, the
3 arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial
4 Services Agency.

5 IV.

6 The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

7 As to risk of non-appearance:

- 8 criminal history includes felony convictions for possession of
9 methamphetamine, forgery, theft, and identity theft and a parole violation.
- 10 use of multiple aliases
- 11 unknown bail resources
- 12 Unrebutted Presumption.

13 As to danger to the community:

- 14 Allegations in present charging document include conspiracy to
15 distribute methamphetamine and felon in possession of a firearm
- 16 Extensive criminal history includes felony convictions and a
17 parole violation.
- 18 Unrebutted presumption [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)]

19 V.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained until trial.
21 The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for
22 confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from
23 persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
24 The defendant will be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation
25 with counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of any
26 attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in
27 which defendant is confined will deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal
28

1 for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

2 [18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)]

3 Dated: August 15, 2017

4 _____ /s/ _____
5 HON. ALKA SAGAR
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE